

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES MICHAEL PAPAZIAN,

Petitioner,

v.

B.M. TRATE,

Respondent.

1:24-cv-00392-HBK (HC)

ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER'S
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

(Doc. No. 39)

Petitioner, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis* in this habeas corpus action, filed a motion for extension of time docketed on May 21, 2025. (Doc. No. 39, "Motion"). Petitioner seeks a 30-day extension of time for two reasons: his unit was on lock down for 10 days, and his father recently passed away. (*Id.* at 2).

Petitioner filed his Second Amended Petition on November 25, 2025. (Doc. No. 29, "SAP"). Respondent filed a Motion to Dismiss on February 18, 2025. (Doc. No. 33, "Motion"). On February 21, 2025, Petitioner was granted an extension of time to April 4, 2025 to file a response to the Motion. (Doc. No. 34). On March 6, 2025, Petitioner was granted an additional extension of time to May 21, 2025 to file a response to the Motion. (Doc. No. 36).

For good cause shown, the Court will grant Petitioner one **final** extension of time to

1 respond to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 33). Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b)(1)(A). Petitioner
2 is advised that his opposition should be limited to the arguments raised by Respondent in its
3 motion to dismiss, including that the SAP should be dismissed for Petitioner's failure to exhaust
4 his administrative remedies.

5 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**:

6 Petitioner shall deliver a response to Respondent's Motion to dismiss to correctional
7 officials for mailing **no later than June 26, 2025**. No further extensions of time will be
8 granted.

9
10 Dated: May 27, 2025


HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE